

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,845	02/27/2004	Federico Uslenghi	60246-339	6868
26096 7	590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/788,845	USLENGHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kishor Mayekar	1753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this commuNABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	erits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er. a) accepted or b) objection of the displayment of the displaymen	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	424(4)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102 and \$ 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

3. Claims 1-3, 8-11, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Reisfeld et al. (US 6,884,399 B2). Reisfeld's invention is directed to modular photocatalytic air purifier. Reisfeld discloses that the air purifier comprises the recited outer compartment and inner compartment (Figs 4 and 5; and col. 4, lines 43-67 and col. 5, lines 58-64). Reisfeld also discloses in col. 2, lines 21-37 a method comprises all the steps as claimed.

As to the subject matter of claim 8, Reisfeld discloses it in col. 2, lines 24-28 and col. 4, lines 9-13.

As to the subject matter of claim 9, Reisfeld discloses it in col. 3, lines 20-36 and col. 4, lines 8-25.

As to the subject matter of claim 10, it is inherently in the honeycomb's shape.

As to the subject matter of claim 11, Reisfeld discloses it in Fig. 1 and col. 3, lines 20-36.

4. Claims 4-7, 12, 13 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reisfeld '738 in view of either Bowen (US 3,520,115) or LaFerriere et al. (US 6,797,042 b2)). The difference between Reisfeld as applied above and each of the instant claims are the limitations recited in each of the instant claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,845

Art Unit: 1753

As to the dependent claims 4 and 5 and independent claim 12, Reisfeld does not detail the use of a fastener to removably attaching the opposing second end of the inner compartment to the outer compartment. Bowen shows the limitation in Figs. 4 and col. 4, lines 9-13 and col. 3, lines 41-45 for pivotally attached a panel to a component. LaFerriere shows the same in an air purifier for pivotally attached a door to a component (col. 15, lines 53-65). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Reisfeld as shown by either Bowen or LaFerriere because this would result in removably attaching the opposing end of the inner compartment to the outer compartment while the inner compartment's first end is pivotally attached to the outer compartment and the selection of any of known equivalent means for removably attaching the opposite end would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to the subject matter of each of claims 6, 7, 13, 15 and 16, since Reisfeld discloses in col. 5, lines 58-64 that in the retracted position the air purifier can be access for maintenance or removal and that the inner compartment's first end is pivotally attached to the outer compartment, and in Fig. 4 the air purifier is substantially parallel to the outer compartment in the in-use position, Reisfeld's air purifier appears to lead one skilled in the art that the inner compartment can be pivotal to any position away from the in-use position, this including the position perpendicular to the outer compartment in the retracted position.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,845 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753